

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,064	07/26/2001	Michael Allen Seigler	SEAG 48089	7270
7:	590 09/04/2003			
Robert P. Lenart			EXAMINER	
Pietragallo, Bo		KIM, PAUL D		
	ntre, 38th Floor	Kiiri, Triol D		
301 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
150 a. g., 1 7 1			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

E							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
/ Office Action Summary		09/916,064	SEIGLER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Paul D Kim	3729				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence add	ess			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failul - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Se, cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this combecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status	Page 1 and 1	ll. 2002					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23.		-1				
2a)□	,—	is action is non-fin					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	on of Claims						
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requiren	nent.				
	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
<u> </u>	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		d to by the Examiner	,			
· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	age			
	14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a)	The translation of the foreign language procedures to the community of the foreign language procedures to the community of th	ovisional applicatio	n has been received.	,			
Attachment	_	p					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) 🔲 🛭	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO- Other: .				

Application/Control Number: 09/916,064

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is a response to the restriction requirement filed on 7/23/2003.

Response to the Restriction Requirement

- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of Species A, Group I in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the product as claimed cannot be made by another materially different process. This is not found persuasive because various etching processes such as chemical mechanical polishing process or vaporetching process can be used for planarizing process instead of ion etching process. Also, these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. Applicant elects Species A drawn to Fig. 3-11, claims 1-7 and 13-17. Examiner traverses the election of claims. Species A is for a method for making a magnetic sensor for a disk drive read head without using a self-planarizing material, which is drawn to claims 1-7. Species B is for a method for making a magnetic sensor for a disk drive read using a self-planarizing material, but without etching a buffer layer, which is drawn to claims 8-12. Species C is for a method for making a magnetic sensor for a disk drive read using a self-planarizing material with a process of planarizing the self-planarizing material with CMP, which is drawn to claims 13-18, and Species D is for a method for making a magnetic sensor for a disk drive read using a self-planarizing

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/916,064

Art Unit: 3729

material with a process of planarizing the self-planarizing material with vacuum etching process, which is drawn to claims 19-20, but without etching a buffer layer.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

4. Claims 8-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or

linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper

No. 4.

Specification

5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

6. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "a top shield layer" in line 3 should be changed to –the top shield layer--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/916,064 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1, 2 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bussmarm et al. (CPP Giant Magnetoresistance of NiFeCo/cu/ CoFe/cu Multilayers).

Fig. 2 of Bussmarm et al. teach a process for manufacturing a magnetic sensor for a disk drive comprising steps of: facilitating a giant magnetoresistive stack on a surface of a layer of bottom shield material, the giant magnetoresistive stack including an etching stop layer (exposed surface after RIE etching process to form the GMR) and a buffer layer on the top of the etching stop layer as shown in Fig. 1 (a) and (b); depositing an insulating material on the giant magnetoresistive stack and the surface of a layer of bottom shield material; planarizing the insulating material to form a top surface of the insulating material lying in a plane; etching the buffer layer as shown in Fig. 2 (c); and depositing a top shield layer on the insulating material and the giant magnetoresistive stack, the top shield layer making electrical contact with the giant magnetoresistive stack as shown in Fig. 2 (d) (see also page 924 and 925).

As per claim 2 the planarizing the insulating material is performed by the chemical machining process (CMP).

As per claim 5 the insulating material is made of nitride.

As per claim 6 the stop layer exposed surface after RIE etching process to form the GMR is made of copper.

As per claim 7 the buffer layer is made of nitride.

Application/Control Number: 09/916,064 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bussmarm et al. in view of Thomas et al. (US PAT. 6,483,662).

Bussmarm et al. teach all of the limitations as set forth above except using a vacuum etching process for planarizing insulating material. Thomas et al. teach a method of making a magnetoresistive element including a process of planarizing insulating material by either CMP or other suitable etching process such as focused ion beam for planarizing the material surface to a fine level (col. 6, lines 3-25). Therefore, it would also have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify a process of planarizing insulating material of Bussmarm et al. by vacuum etching process such as focused ion beam as taught by Thomas et al. for the purpose of planarizing the material surface to a fine level.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

•

Application/Control Number: 09/916,064

Art Unit: 3729

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Crue et al. (US PAT. 6,043,959), Everitt et al. (US PAT. 6,455,177), and Rudy et al. (US PAT. 6,445,536) are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to method of manufacturing a magnetoresistive transducer.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul D Kim whose telephone number is 703-308-8356. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday between 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5648.

pdk

PETER VO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 6